IRENA INNOVATION WEEK

Solutions to decarbonise the shipping and aviation sectors

In partnership with



26 September 2023 | 14:00



IRENA INNOVATION WEEK

Scene-setting Presentation



Pierpaolo Cazzola Director – European Transport and Energy Research Center Institute of Transportation Studies at UC Davis



Introduction



 Transport plays a vital role in the world's economy, but it is also a major source of emissions: 23% of energy-related GHG emissions globally, and close to a third once indirect emissions (vehicle manufacture, fuel production, infrastructure construction) are included



- Energy combustion emissions from shipping and aviation currently account for over 5% of GHG emissions (fairly evenly split)
- This share will grow as road transport decarbonizes
- For aviation, it comes with important additional climate forcing pressure (due to non-CO₂ effects)

#11\//2023

"regulator" (IMO, ICAO)

- Major importance of long distance trips
- Poor track record regarding fuel taxation and meaningful carbon pricing (with few exceptions)

Decarbonisation needs to be articulated on three main areas of action

- The management of travel demand
- The enhancement of the energy efficiency
- The reduction of the fossil carbon content of energy vectors/fuels, in line with IPCC (IPCC is also clear on the fact that carbon dioxide removals are needed for complementary measures)
- \rightarrow Need for coordinated action for vessels, energy/fuels & infrastructure

Technology options to decarbonize shipping and aviation

Important commonalities

International relevance + presence of ad-hoc international







Technology options to decarbonize shipping and aviation









Technical solutions for efficiency improvements Operational improvements Fuel switching

Requiring carbon negative approaches (offsets)

#IIW2023

Zoom into fuel options



Need to account for multiple needs:

- Technology readiness and technical feasibility (including safety aspects)
- Availability, at scale and in line with sustainability requirements (with respect to GHG emission abatement, on a life-cycle basis, energy efficiency, water and land use requirements)
- Cost, with a focus of total cost of ownership and operation



Source: adapted from https://www.nature.com/articles/d43978-022-00098-x

#IIW2023

High priority (technically feasible, suitable, economically competitive)

Low priority (technically feasible but not very suitable, economically suboptimal)

Not a priority (subject to major technical restrictions, limited economic competitiveness)

Uncertain (at present, subject to technical and economic restrictions, albeit w ith a considerable potential, requires research and deployment support)

In words...



- For both cost and resource efficiency reasons, the shift to sustainable fuels should be first driven by a significant increase in **energy efficiency**
- Direct electrification from renewable and other low-carbon resources is the best option for the decarbonisation of road transport and short haul shipping, due to lower cost, better energy efficiency and – with economic circularity – resource requirements vs. competing alternatives
- Liquid and gaseous sustainable fuels should be primarily dedicated to transport sub-sectors that cannot be easily electrified, i.e. aviation, shipping, and – possibly – part of heavy-duty road transport





Sources: https://www.europarl.europa.eu/thinktank/en/document/IPOL_STU(2023)733103 https://doi.org/10.7922/G2SB442Z

With more details...



- **Biofuels** are cheaper than renewable e-liquids, but they face availability limitations and competing demand in the bio-economy and sustainability constraints with respect to land use
- Hydrogen could be used as an energy carrier (with storage challenges) or a feedstock for PBtL and e-fuels (RFNBOs), but it needs to be produced from low-carbon pathways (requiring very carbon electricity and very cheap forms of primary energy)
- Renewable e-liquids are interesting options for both aviation and shipping
 - Blendable e-hydrocarbons (drop-in) are needed for SAF; they can also be used by ships (cost/energy efficiency challenge)
 - E-ammonia and e-methanol are competitive candidates for maritime fuels, although their adoption remains uncertain: safety issues are currently a barrier for ammonia, and the sourcing of carbon can be challenge for methanol
- Fossil fuels with emissions offsetting (including but not limited to DACCS) may outcompete e-fuels (if also reliant on DAC), but there are scale constraints for DAC, due to volumes of air to be processed and need for large low-carbon heat inputs

Policies for transport decarbonization



- Focusing in least-cost is crucial to maximise development opportunities and/or minimize economic drawbacks
- While there is a tendency on near-term focus in policymaking, looking at structural long-term aspects (availability, modularity and its cost implications) is important to anticipate change, de-risk investments meant to seize opportunities and steer the economy towards greater competitiveness
- Carbon pricing is key for signals on efficiency and fuel switching investments, even if not sufficient (and also far from being universally adopted)
- The support of innovation and technological development (best if funded by carbon pricing) is crucial for sustainable aviation and shipping fuels that can leverage large scale feedstock availability

IRENA INNOVATION WEEK

Scene-setting Presentation



Carlos Ruiz Programme Officer, Innovation and End-use Sectors IRENA





The role of renewables in decarbonising the shipping and aviation sectors

IRENA Innovation Week Day 2 – Solutions to decarbonise the shipping and aviation sectors 26 September 2023

Carlos Ruiz Programme Officer – Innovation and End-use Sectors



INNOVATION WEEK

H₂ based fuels are not equally suitable for all sectors





#IIW2023 205

Shipping and aviation have similar paths to net-zero



#IIW2023

206



- 1. Reduced demand and improved energy efficiency
- 2. Direct use of clean electricity
- 3. Direct use of bioenergy
- 4. Indirect use of clean electricity via e-fuels





Electricity consumption by end-use sector (TWh/yr) in the 1.5°C Scenario

By 2050:

- H₂ supply reaching **530 Mt/yr** ٠ (6x growth)
- Shipping and aviation expected to ٠ consume ~170 Mt/yr (roughly a third)
- Electricity consumption to grow **3.3**x ٠
- Renewable resources are plentiful, ٠ the key is the timely planning of their deployment.

Synthetic fuels are still in their early stages

- Supply and demand for synthetic fuels needs to be bult up in parallel across all end-use sectors.
 - The timely development of necessary infrastructure is critical.
 - Harmonised certification of green fuels and safety standards to further enable trade and investments.
- Long term clarity on policy and regulation is needed.
- International cooperation between governments is important, but also between public/private sectors and across end-use sectors.
 - Collaborative instruments, such as green corridors are emerging and helping to demonstrate and scale decarbonisation efforts.





IRENA is advancing the decarbonisation agenda with its Members



#IIW2023 209

IRENA INNOVATION WEEK

Scene-setting Presentation



Zhang Tianfu Chief Scientist State Power Investment Corporation, China





Powering tomorrow's transportation: SPIC's journey producing e-fuels

Tianfu Zhang

State Power Investment Corporation Research Institute

Bonn, Germany, September 26, 2023

知识产权声明

本文件的知识产权属国家电力投资集团公司及其相关产权人所有,并含有其保密信息。对本文件的使用及处置应严格遵循获取本文件的合同及约定的条件和要求。未经国家电力投资集团公司事先书面同意,不得对外披露、复制。

Intellectual Property Rights Statement

This document is the property of and contains proprietary information owned by SPIC and/or its related proprietor. You agree to treat this document in strict accordance with the terms and conditions of the agreement under which it was provided to you. No disclosure or copy of this document is permitted without the prior written permission of SPIC.



CONTENTS

Introduction of SPIC group

Layout of SPIC's e-fuels industry

Brief on Green Power Conversion Industry in SPIC



- State Power Investment Corporation (SPIC), is one of China's five largest power generation groups, with over 120,000 employees and € 200 billion assets.
- SPIC manages overall power capacity of 237 GW, with renewable energy installed capacity of 160 GW.





Brief on Green Power Conversion Industry in SPIC



SPIC establishes 'green power conversion' as one of the five pilar industries



Renewable Energy

Largest Renewable Power company globally





Biomass

Largest Biomass power company nationally





Hydrogen

Leading hydrogen energy company nationally

- Technology leader of fuel cell
- Independent development of PEM water electrolysis equipment (200Nm³/h)
- Hydrogen transport in natural gas
 pipelines
- Hydrogen internal combustion engine



CCUS

Leading CCUS company nationally





CONTENTS

Introduction of SPIC group

Layout of SPIC's e-fuels industry



Shipping industry

- IMO (International Maritime Organization) plan to reduce 20% carbon emision by 2030, and achieve zero emission by 2050.
- Green NH₃ and green methanol are important solutions to achieve the goal. Many leading shipping companies are planning to equip NH₃ and methanol engines on their ships. The demand on green methanol is expected to be 3 million tons by 2025.

Aviation industry

- REDII released by European Commission requires 2% of SAF (Sustainable Aviation Fuel) blending by 2025 and 63% of SAF blending by 2050.
- IATA (International Air Transport Association) plans to achieve zero emission by 2050, meaning **350 million tons SAF** demand.

Year		SAF		RFNBOs	
	EU aviation fuel demand/ Mt	blending ratio	demand/ Mt	blending ratio	demand/ Mt
2025	6800	2%	140	-	-
2030	7150	5%	350	0.7%	50
2050	8700	63%	5500	28%	2450

Layout of green NH₃ industry





Process of green NH₃ plant





#IIW2023 219

Layout of green methanol industry





Process of methanol plant



#IIW2023

221



- 1.3t corn straw + 77 kg $H_2 \rightarrow 1t$ methanol
- Green methanol: € 420/t









#IIW2023 223



Three 10GW industry clusters

Jilin: 10.8GW

Xinjiang: 10.7GW

Inner mongonia: 9.45GW

Several GW industry bases

Qinghai: 6.98GW

Tibet: 5.35GW

Heilongjiang: 4.6GW

Gansu: 2GW

Sichuan: 1.4GW



Comprehensive utilization of green H₂ in northeastern China



- Overall Plan: 3,525MW off-grid wind power, 164,000 ton/year hydrogen production facility, 400,000 ton/year green methanol, 200,000 ton/year green ammonia, 200,000 ton/year SAF; 1,000MW wind power connect to the grid. The project is overall planned, and will be conducted in different phases.
- **Phase I:** 2,075MW off-grid wind power, 97,000ton/year hydrogen production facility, 400,000 ton/year green methanol and 200,000 ton/year green ammonia.
- Phase II: 1,450 MW off-grid wind power, 67,000 ton/year hydrogen production facility, 2×100,000 ton/year SAF plants.



Partnerships





SPIC is willing to cooperate with partners all over the world and let us together contribute to develop a green and low-carbon energy world.



风光无限 国家电投 SUSTAINABLE POWER FOR AN INVALUABLE CAUSE

绿色 创新 融合, 真信 真干 真成

OSPIC 2022. All Rights Reserved

IRENA INNOVATION WEEK

Airbus



Bruno James Head New energy business development



Nelson Mojarro Head Innovation and partnerships Maisarah Abdul Kadir Associate Programme Officer End-uses and roadmaps

IRENA

Norsk e-Fuels



Karl Hauptmeier CEO



UNFCCC

Bernd Hackmann Team Lead NDC, LT-LEDS and sectoral support



Yara

Emile Herben Director Product mgmt. and certification



Moderator:

Pierpaolo Cazzola Director European Transport and Research Center ITS-DAVIS

#IIW2023

Clean Energy Marine Hubs (CEM-Hubs)



4th propulsion

revolution

De-risking and transforming the Energy-Maritime supply chain

Shipping's four propulsion revolutions

IMO's Strategy and Net-Zero Goals: Historic and ambitious

2050 goals impossible to achieve without:

1.Production AT SCALE of low and zero carbon fuels close to the ports. Electricity requirement.

2. Global regulation and cross-sectoral collaboration.

Dual-Role of Shipping.
 Enabler of the transition.

LEANENERGY

International Chamber of Shipping

iaph^{*}

TERIAI

Combustion and diesel engines Coal-fired Propelled coal-fired steamships Wind 7 1450 1838 1839 1912 2010 1930 1960 1400 1500 1600 1700 1800 1900 2000 Now 2050 2100 Wind power **Coal-fired steamships** Combustion and diesel engines Methanol Hydrogen Am Propelled coal-fired steamships Heavy fuel oil engine E-fuel Biofue Nuclear LNG fuel Battery/electrification BP BAU 0 TWh production needed 1-1 TWh Shell Islands 16-22 TWh Shell 1.5 233-313 TWh Shell Waves **BP** Rapid 463-622 TWh Electricity 1019-1368 TWh **BP** Net Zero 1895-2532 TWh IRENA 1.5°C IEA NZE 2349-3154 TWh 100% 90% 80% Other, e.g. biofuel, electricity Hydrogen-based fuels Natural gas

IRENA INNOVATION WEEK

Closing



Pierpaolo Cazzola Director – European Transport and Energy Research Center Institute of Transportation Studies at UC Davis



Carlos Ruiz Programme Officer, Innovation and End-use Sectors IRENA



IRENA INNOVATION WEEK

Thank you!



